Comparison of Smoker's and Non-Smoker's Urine Using Comprehensive Two-Dimensional Gas Chromatography High Performance Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry

Introduction

Urine is a favored biofluid for diagnostic testing (Urinalysis) because it is non-invasive and large volumes are easily obtained. In addition, urine is relatively free from interfering proteins and lipids, and it tends to "hold" high concentrations of drugs and metabolites over extended periods of time. Modern, routine clinical tests include the determination of specific gravity, measurement of glucose, nitrates, etc.

In this study, a novel analytical approach was utilized for the effective characterization of compounds in two standard reference materials, NIST smoker's and non-smoker's urine.

Objectives

- Implement the use of enhanced, comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography (GCxGC) for the separation of compounds in urine
- Use a benchtop, high performance time-of-flight mass spectrometer and powerful processing software to quickly and confidently identify compounds in urine
- Use software tools to compare smoker's and non-smoker's urine

Sample Preparation

- 1) 600 µL of urine treated with urease (37 °C, 15 min)
- 2) The mixture was vortexed (2 min) and then centrifuged (12,000 g for 10 min)
- 3) 200 µL of supernatant was transferred to a 2mL GC vial and evaporated to dryness (Speed Vac)
- 4) The dry material was derivatized using a two-step procedure
- Methoximation (20 µL of MEOX, 80 °C, 30 min)
- Silylation (75 µL MSTFA, 80 °C, 30 min)

Technology



LECO Pegasus[®] BT 4D and ChromaTOF[®] Brand Software

Table 1. Instrument acquisition parameters

Gas Chromatograph	Agilent 7890, LECO Dual Stage Quad Jet Modulator & L-PAL 3 Autosampler
Injection	1μL, Split 20:1; 280°C
Carrier Gas	He @ 1.4 ml/min, Constant Flow
Columns (1 st Dimension) (2 nd Dimension)	Rxi-5 MS, 30 m x 0.25 mm i.d. x 0.25 μ m (Restek, Bellefonte, PA, USA) Rxi-17 Sil MS 0.6 m x 0.25 mm i.d. x 0.25 μ m (Restek, Bellefonte, PA, USA)
Temperature Program	50°C (0.50 min.), ramped 5°C/min. to 150°C (1.01 min.), ramped 2°C/min. to 200°C, ramped 50°C/min. to 300°C (15 min.)
	2 nd oven maintained +10°C relative to primary oven
Modulation	4s with temperature maintained $+15^{\circ}$ C relative to secondary oven
Mass Spectrometer	LECO Pegasus® BT 4D
Ion Source Temperature	250 °C
Ionization Mode	El
Mass Range (m/z)	45-600
Acquisition Rate	15 spectra/s (1D); 200 spectra/s (2D)



Figure 1. A) GC-TOFMS, TIC of Non-smoker's urine (NSU), B) eXtracted Ion Chromatogram (XIC) showing coeluting parabanic acid and D-(-)-citramalic acid, and C) corresponding Caliper, Peak True and Library Spectra.



Figure 2. A) GCxGC-TOFMS Contour Plot for NSU and B) Plot expansion displaying separated parabanic acid, and D-(-)-citramalic acid. Improved Peak True and Library spectra for the chromatographically resolved acids.

Table 2. Comparison of GC and GCxGC-TOFMS spectral similarity values for some acids in NSU (Unknowns \rightarrow Knowns)

GC-IOFMS		
Name	R.T. (s)	Similarity
D-(-)-Citramalic acid, 3TMS	1255.77	756
Parabanic acid, 2TMS	Not Found	
Kojic acid, 2TMS	1264.51	570
Quinolinic acid, 2TMS	1721.83	326
Orotic Acid, 3TMS	1778.33	660
Homovanillic Acid, 2TMS	1814.9	449
Hippuric acid, TMS	1947.01	649
Vanillylmandelic acid, 3TMS	2102.38	681
Pantothenic acid, 3TMS	2369.86	537
Caffeic acid, 3TMS	2702.75	533

GCxGC-TOFMS

R.T. (s)	Similarity	Mass Δ (Da)		
1256.06, 0.802	864	N/A		
1256.06, 1.199	855	0.01		
1264.06, 1.020	818	-0.01		
1720.1, 2.088	941	0.01		
1776.1, 1.357	807	0.02		
1812.1, 1.726	895	0.01		
1944.12, 2.811	953	0.02		
2096.13, 1.537	860	0.02		
2364.15, 1.395	912	N/A		
2696 18 1 615	865	0.02		



David E. Alonso^{*} and Joseph E. Binkley | LECO Corporation, St. Joseph, Michigan U.S.A.

Confident Characterization: Similarity, Retention Index, and Mass Δ

Spectral Similarity



Figure 3. Contour plot with peak markers for representative compounds in NSU: acids, diacids, fatty acids, amino acids, monosaccharides, disaccharides, etc.

Table 3. Representative list of compounds in NSU with retention times and spectral similarity values

R.T. (s)	Similarity
588.007, 0.683	933
612.009, 0.736	915
708.017, 0.894	927
816.025, 0.707	949
836.027, 0.714	912
856.028, 1.085	805
876.03, 0.780	805
884.031, 0.781	813
884.031, 1.104	932
960.037, 1.189	912
980.038, 0.634	935
1040.04, 0.729	920
1148.05, 0.798	902
1228.06, 0.754	823
1256.06, 1.461	924
1272.06, 1.745	949
1284.06, 0.847	922
1320.07, 3.345	916
1392.07, 1.161	860
1420.07, 2.076	863
1472.08, 1.315	937
1504.08, 1.247	877
1528.08, 1.360	904
1532.08, 1.328	823
1556.08, 2.135	930
1644.09, 1.607	851
1692.1, 1.174	919
1780.1, 1.276	831
	R.T. (s) 588.007, 0.683 612.009, 0.736 708.017, 0.894 816.025, 0.707 836.027, 0.714 856.028, 1.085 876.03, 0.780 884.031, 0.781 884.031, 1.104 960.037, 1.189 980.038, 0.634 1040.04, 0.729 1148.05, 0.798 1228.06, 0.754 1256.06, 1.461 1272.06, 1.745 1284.06, 0.847 1320.07, 3.345 1392.07, 1.161 1420.07, 2.076 1472.08, 1.315 1504.08, 1.247 1528.08, 1.360 1556.08, 2.135 1644.09, 1.607 1692.1, 1.174 1780.1, 1.276

Name	R.T. (s)	Similarity
Citric acid, 4TMS	1968.12, 1.140	882
Methylcitric acid, 4TMS	2004.12, 1.127	814
Adenine, 2TMS	2020.12, 2.210	840
m-Coumaric acid, 2TMS	2028.12, 1.664	790
1,5-Anhydrohexitol, 4TMS	2032.12, 1.066	826
D-Fructose, MOX, 5TMS	2120.13, 0.898	908
L-Ascorbic acid, 2-O-methyl-3,5,6-tris-O-TMS	2144.13, 1.464	794
d-Galactose, (1E)-MOX, 5TMS	2164.13, 0.916	933
d-Galactose, (1Z)-MOX, 5TMS	2216.14, 0.937	909
d-Glucose, (1Z)-MOX, 5TMS	2224.14, 1.064	844
D-Mannitol, 6TMS	2256.14, 0.829	915
1H-Indole-2-acetic aciid,2TMS	2256.14, 2.475	924
D-Sorbitol, 6TMS	2276.14, 0.835	934
Myo-Inositol, 6TMS	2312.14, 0.849	923
D-Gluconic acid, 6TMS	2432.15, 0.923	910
Palmitic Acid, TMS	2448.16, 1.416	923
Scyllo-Inositol, 6TMS	2500.16, 0.903	938
Kynurenic Acid, 2TMS	2508.16, 2.538	852
N-Acetyl-D-glucosamine, MOX (anti), 4TMS	2604.17, 1.306	850
N-Acetyl-D-glucosamine, MOX (syn), 4TMS	2624.17, 1.316	869
Stearic acid, TMS	2808.18, 0.555	912
Xanthurenic acid, 3TMS	2824.19, 0.586	807
D-Lactose, MOX, 8TMS (isomer 2)	2956.2, 0.524	916
Maltose, 8TMS (isomer 2)	2964.2, 0.556	891
D-(+)-Cellobiose, MOX, 8TMS (isomer 2)	2980.2, 0.581	873
Tryptophan, 4TMS	2980.2, 1.315	805
Maltose, 8TMS , isomer 1	3304.22, 0.971	840
Sucrose, 8TMS	3336.23, 0.977	846

 $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ Similarity = 883/1000

Mass Δ , Retention Index



Figure 4. NSU GCxGC-TOFMS Peak True spectra, library mass spectra, RI (Experimental and NIST calculated) and Mass Δ values for methylmalonic acid (A/B), and adipic acid (C/D).

> Table 4. Comparison of experimental and NIST RI values for diacids in urine

Name	R.T. (s)	Similarity	Mass 🛆 (Da)	Exp RI	NIST RI
Oxalic acid, 2TMS	708.017, 0.894	927	N/A	1142	1136
Methylmalonic acid, 2TMS	844.028, 0.825	778	0.02	1224	1223
Succinic acid, 2TMS	1004.04, 0.896	885	0.01	1323	1321
Methylsuccinic acid, 2TMS	1024.04, 0.861	907	N/A	1336	1331
Fumaric acid, 2TMS	1052.04, 0.823	915	N/A	1354	1353
Itaconic acid, 2TMS	1052.04, 0.942	816	N/A	1354	1398
Methylmaleic acid, 2TMS	1064.05, 0.972	876	N/A	1362	1386
3-Methylglutaric acid, 2TMS	1172.05, 0.892	906	N/A	1432	1431
Adipic acid, 2TMS	1296.06, 0.993	854	-0.02	1514	1514
3-Methyladipic acid, 2TMS	1344.07, 1.021	849	N/A	1543	1544
2-Oxoglutaric acid, MOX, 2TMS	1380.07, 1.179	837	0.02	1564	1587
α -Hydroxyglutaric acid, 2TMS	1428.07, 0.961	898	0.03	1593	1586
Pimelic acid, 2TMS	1464.08, 1.150	933	N/A	1613	1610
Tartaric acid, 4TMS	1572.09, 0.962	878	-0.01	1669	1665
Suberic acid, 2TMS	1648.09, 1.294	933	N/A	1707	1708
Azelaic acid. 2TMS	1864.11, 1.401	928	-0.01	1807	1807

%Error = 0.54%

ugs, GCxGC-TOFM apentin lactam · Paracetamol, TMS Gabapentinl actam-TM uprofen TMS Paracetamol, 2TMS Bamethan, 2TMS

Figure 5. Drugs in smoker's urine (SU).



Figure 6. Tobacco related compounds in SL

Smoker's Urine Results

Table 5. Retention times, similarity values for drugs in SU

Name	R.T. (s)	Similarity
Methyl salicylate, TMS	1132.05, 1.237	838
Nudiflorine	1236.06, 3.209	811
Bamethan, 2TMS	1308.06, 0.393	816
Gabapentin lactam	1396.07, 2.706	938
GabapentinLactam-TMS	1420.07, 1.613	944
Ibuprofen, TMS	1492.08, 1.372	889
Paracetamol, 2TMS	1520.08, 1.240	906
Paracetamol, TMS	1748.1, 2.638	913
Caffeine	1944.12, 0.919	871
Benadryl	2060.12, 2.873	900
Theophylline, TMS	2108.13, 3.314	793
Methcathinone	2160.13, 0.336	814
Naproxen, TMS	2524.16, 3.003	911
Acetaminophen	3056.2, 0.477	892
Pregabalin, O,N-N-tri-TMS-	3084.21, 0.698	759

Table 6. Retention times, similarity values for tobacco related compounds in SU

Name	R.T. (s)	Similarity
3-Pyridinol, TMS	536.003, 0.864	812
Benzene, 1,3-dichloro-	536.003, 1.142	837
Phenol, TMS	564.005, 0.857	898
2-Pyridinecarbonitrile	584.007, 1.815	898
Carbazole, 2,4,7-trimethyl-	672.014, 0.497	715
o-Cresol, TMS	692.015, 0.886	780
3-Pyridinol, TMS	704.016, 1.044	915
p-Cresol, TMS derivative	728.018, 0.932	886
Naphthalene, 2,6-dimethyl-	772.022, 0.675	752
3-Ethylphenol, TMS	872.03, 0.957	781
Catechol, 2TMS	1008.04, 0.881	923
Pyrene, 1,9-dimethyl-	1080.05, 0.849	724
4-Cyanophenol, TMS	1104.05, 1.286	733
4-Methylcatechol, 2TMS	1120.05, 0.892	908
Hydroquinone, 2TMS	1136.05, 0.899	786
Cotinine	1648.09, 0.021	950
Theobromine	1984.12, 1.660	918
trans-3'-Hydroxycotinine, TMS	2064.13, 3.190	928
Theobromine, TMS derivative	2224.14, 0.044	725
2-Hydroxy-3-methylanthraquinone, O-TMS	2408.15, 2.800	810
6-Hydroxy-α-methylnaphthaleneacetic acid, 2TMS	2728.18, 1.694	897
4-Nitrophenyl-β-D-galacturonide, 3TMS	3028.2, 0.927	736







Figure 8. Target Analyte Finding (TAF) processing method for rapid and robust identification of tobacco related compounds in comprehensive data files.

Table 7. TAF processing results for SU and NSU. As expected Increased quantities of tobacco related compounds were detected In smoker's urine

Name	R.T. (s)	SU Area	NSU Area
3-Pyridinol, TMS	536 s, 0.868 s	371202153	310107452
Benzene, 1,3-dichloro-	536 s, 1.148 s	2650601	2571935
Phenol, TMS	564 s, 0.860 s	277036716	149109915
2-Pyridinecarbonitrile	584 s, 1.822 s	3093248	2110712
Carbazole, 2,4,7-trimethyl-	676 s, 0.424 s	9471222	10467511
o-Cresol, TMS	692 s, 0.905 s	1882395	494412
p-Cresol, TMS	728 s, 0.948 s	401969495	429576716
Naphthalene, 2,6-dimethyl-	772 s, 0.702 s	569201	248416
3-Ethylphenol, TMS	872 s, 0.985 s	1525954	1005120
Catechol, 2TMS	1008 s, 0.921 s	523485419	416608899
Pyrene, 1,9-dimethyl-	1080 s, 0.896 s	1643952	890289
4-Cyanophenol, TMS	1104 s, 1.498 s	19191	15717
4-Methylcatechol, 2TMS	1120 s, 0.940 s	104992508	82018098
Hydroquinone, 2TMS	1136 s, 0.936 s	16412520	9694548
Cotinine	1648 s, 0.122 s	20487338	130238
Theobromine	1988 s, 1.789 s	9072840	17023415
trans-3'-Hydroxycotinine, TMS	2060 s, 3.020 s	13862	8359
2-Hydroxy-3-methylanthraquinone, TMS	2404 s, 2.778 s	794	4222
6-Hydroxy- α -methylnaphthaleneacetic acid, 2TMS	2728 s, 1.873 s	6364834	14267
4-Nitrophenyl-β-D-galacturonide,4TMS	3032 s, 1.028 s	75003259	18560460

Summary

• The Pegasus BT 4D facilitates fast and confident compound identification through enhanced two-dimensional chromatographic resolution and high performance TOFMS. • Robust compound identification was obtained through spectral similarity searches of large, well-established databases, mass Δ calculations and retention index filtering. • Comprehensive data can be processed via non-targeted Peak Find or rapid Target Analyte Finding.